

ORDER SHEET
WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Chairman
 & The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Administrative Member.

Case No –OA-128 of 2020.

Asif Ekbal. –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>4</u> 7.12.2020.	<p>For the Applicant : Mrs. M. Dhar Chowdhury, Advocate.</p> <p>For the State Respondents : Mrs. S. Agarwal, Advocate.</p> <p>For the P.S.C., W.B. : Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, Advocate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Affidavit of service filed today be kept on record.</p> <p>In this application, Asif Ekbal, the applicant, who had participated in the selection process for the post of Krishi Prayukti Sahayak Recruitment Examination, 2016 has prayed for direction upon the respondents to recommend his name to the said post on the basis of the result published on 6th October, 2018. It appears that the applicant belongs to BC-A category. It appears that being dissatisfied with the results he had filed an application under Right to Information Act, 2005 and it appears from the letter dated 15th May, 2019, being annexure- 'F' to the application that the results were published on 6th October, 2018 and the applicant was given liberty to inspect the relevant answer script on 20th June, 2019. It was also intimated that the marks obtained by the applicant in Part -I was 84.5 and in Part -II was 01.</p> <p>It is submitted by Mrs. M. Dhar Chowdhury, learned advocate for the applicant, relying on the application, particularly paragraph 6 (n) thereof, that though the</p>	

ORDER SHEET

Asif Ekbal.

Form No.

Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**Case No. OA-128 of 2020.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
S.M.	<p>applicant was permitted to inspect the answer script no copy was supplied to him. Therefore, appropriate order may be passed for supply of copy of the answer script.</p> <p>Mrs. S. Agarwal, learned advocate for the State respondents submits that though the applicant had secured 84.5 in Part -I he secured 01 in Part -II, however, as he could not secure the qualifying 10 marks in Part -II and had secured only 01, his name was not recommended.</p> <p>Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, learned advocate for the Public Service Commission, West Bengal supports the stand taken by Mrs. Agarwal.</p> <p>Heard learned advocates for the parties. It is not denied that by letter dated 15th May, 2019, liberty was granted to the applicant to inspect the relevant answer script which was permitted. It also appears that the applicant secured 01 in Part -II examination for unreserved BC-A category to which the applicant belongs. The cut off mark was 10. Therefore his name was not recommended. So far as supply of copy of the answer script is concerned, it is clear that it is a belated prayer since by letter dated 15th May, 2019, liberty was given to inspect the answer script which the applicant did not avail. Therefore, no order is passed in this application. The application is disposed of.</p> <p>(DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS) MEMBER (A)</p>	<p>(SOUMITRA PAL) CHAIRMAN</p>

ORDER SHEET

Asif Ekbal.

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-128 of 2020.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3